

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

December 15, 2009

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 09-30017
Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

LARRY COLEMAN,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Louisiana
USDC No. 3:07-CR-247-1

Before KING, JOLLY, and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Larry Coleman has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Coleman has not filed a response. The record is insufficiently developed to allow consideration at this time of any potential claim of ineffective assistance of counsel identified by counsel; such a claim generally “cannot be resolved on direct appeal when [it] has not been raised before the district court since no opportunity existed to develop the record on the merits of the

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

allegations.” *United States v. Cantwell*, 470 F.3d 1087, 1091 (5th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Our independent review of the record and counsel’s brief discloses no nonfrivolous issue for appeal. Accordingly, counsel’s motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.